arXiv:1512.01053v1 [math.GT] 3 Dec 2015

A twisted link invariant derived from a virtual link invariant

Naoko Kamada *

Graduate School of Natural Sciences, Nagoya City University

1 Yamanohata, Mizuho-cho, Mizuho-ku, Nagoya, Aichi 467-8501 Japan

Abstract

Virtual knot theory is a generalization of knot theory which is based on Gauss chord diagrams and link diagrams on closed oriented surfaces. A twisted knot is a generalization of a virtual knot, which corresponds to a link diagram on a possibly non-orientable surface. In this paper, we discuss an invariant of twisted links which is obtained from the JKSS invariant of virtual links by use of double coverings. We also discuss some properties of double covering diagrams.

1 Introduction

L. H. Kauffman introduced virtual knot theory, which is a generalization of knot theory based on Gauss chord diagrams and link diagrams in closed oriented surfaces [6]. Twisted knot theory was introduced by Bourgoin. It is an extension of virtual knot theory. Twisted links correspond to stable equivalence classes of links in oriented 3-manifolds which are line bundles over (possibly non-orientable) closed surfaces [1], [2].

F. Jaeger, L. H. Kauffman and H. Saleur defined an invariant of links in thickened surfaces, where the surfaces are oriented [3]. J. Sawollek [7] applied it to virtual links, which is so-called the *JKSS invariant*. In this paper, we introduce an invariant of twisted links obtained from the JKSS invariant by use of double coverings. We also discuss some properties of double coverings of twisted link diagrams.

A *virtual link diagram* is a generically immersed loops whose double points have information of positive, negative or virtual crossing. A *virtual crossing* is an encircled double point without over-under information. A *twisted link diagram* is a virtual link diagram, possibly with *bars* on arcs. Examples of twisted link diagrams are depicted in Figure 1.

A *twisted link* (resp. a *virtual link*) is an equivalence class of a twisted link diagram (resp. virtual link diagram) under Reidemeister moves, virtual Reidemeister moves and twisted Reidemeister moves (resp. Reidemeister moves and virtual Reidemeister moves) depicted in Figures 2, 3 and 4.

*This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 15K04879.



Figure 1: Examples of twisted link diagrams



Figure 2: Reisdemeister moves



Figure 3: Virtual Reidemeister moves



Figure 4: Twisted Reidemeister moves

2 The JKSS invariant

We recall the definition of the JKSS invariant of a virtual link. Let D be a virtual link diagram with n real crossings. Let $c_1, \ldots, c_n$ be the real crossings of D. We define a $2n \times 2n$ matrix, $M = \mathrm{diag}(M_1, \ldots, M_n)$, where $M_i = M_+$ (or M_-) if c_i is positive (or negative) crossing. Here M_+ and M_- are 2×2 matrices: $M_+ = \begin{pmatrix} 1-x & -y \\ -xy^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $M_- = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -x^{-1}y \\ -y^{-1} & 1-x^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$. Let $|D|$ be the 4-valent graph obtained from D by regarding each real crossing as a vertex of $|D|$. We denote by the same symbols $c_1, \ldots, c_n$ the vertices of $|D|$. The graph $|D|$ is immersed in $\mathbb{R}^2$ and the multiple points of $|D|$ are virtual crossings

of D. For each vertex c_i of $|D|$, consider an open regular neighborhood $N(c_i, |D|)$ of c_i in $|D|$. Then $N(c_i, |D|) - \{c_i\}$ is the union of four open arcs, which we call the *short edges around* c_i. According to the position, we denote by $i_0^-, i_1^-, i_0^+, i_1^+$ the short edges as in Figure 5.



Figure 5: Labels of four edges

We define a $2n \times 2n$ matrix, $P = (p_{kl})$ as follows. For each $i, j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$,

$$p_{(2i-1+\epsilon)(2j-1+\lambda)} = \begin{cases} 1 & \left(\text{if two short edges } i_\epsilon^- \text{ and } j_\lambda^+ \text{ are on the same edge of } |D| \quad\right) \\ 0 & \text{(otherwise)} \end{cases},$$

where $\epsilon, \lambda \in \{0, 1\}$.

Theorem 1 (Jaeger, Kauffman and Saleur [3], Sawollek [7]) *For a virtual link diagram D, $Z_D(x, y) = (-1)^{w(D)}\det(M - P)$ is an invariant of the virtual link up to multiplication by powers of $x^{\pm 1}$, i.e., for any virtual link diagram D' representing the same virtual link with D, we have $Z_{D'}(x, y) = x^m Z_D(x, y)$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

The *JKSS invariant* of a virtual link L is defined by $Z_L(x, y) = Z_D(x, y)$ for a diagram D of L. For example, the JKSS invariant of a virtual link depicted in Figure 6 is $(x - 1)(y + 1)(x + y)y^{-1}$.



Figure 6: A virtual link diagram

We define an invariant of twisted links which is related to the JKSS invariant. Let D be a twisted link diagram with n real crossings $c_1, \ldots, c_n$.

We define a $4n \times 4n$ matrix $\widetilde{M}$, by $\widetilde{M} = \mathrm{diag}(\widetilde{M}_1, \ldots, \widetilde{M}_n)$, where $\widetilde{M}_i = \widetilde{M}_+$ (or $\widetilde{M}_-$) if the crossing c_i is positive (or negative). Here $\widetilde{M}_+$ and $\widetilde{M}_-$ are 4×4 matrices $\mathrm{diag}(M_+, M_+)$

and $\mathrm{diag}(M_-, M_-)$ respectively, i.e.,

$$\widetilde{M}_+ = \begin{pmatrix} 1-x & -y & 0 & 0 \\ -xy^{-1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1-x & -y \\ 0 & 0 & -xy^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \widetilde{M}_- = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -x^{-1}y & 0 & 0 \\ -y^{-1} & 1-x^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -x^{-1}y \\ 0 & 0 & -y^{-1} & 1-x^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

For a twisted link diagram D, the graph $|D|$ is defined by the same way before. Each edge of $|D|$ may have bars on it. For each vertex c_i of $|D|$, we denote by i_0^-, i_1^-, i_0^+, and i_1^+ the short edge around c_i as before. We denote $i_\epsilon \overset{\mathrm{e}}{\leftarrow} j_\lambda$ (or $i_\epsilon \overset{\mathrm{o}}{\leftarrow} j_\lambda$), if two short edges i_ϵ^- and j_λ^+ are on the same edge of $|D|$ and there are an even (or odd) number of bars on the edge.

We defined $4n \times 4n$ matrix, $\widetilde{P} = (\tilde{p}_{kl})$ as follows. For each $i, j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$,

$$\tilde{p}_{(4i-3+a)(4j-3+b)} = \begin{cases} 1 & \left(i_a \overset{\mathrm{e}}{\leftarrow} j_b \text{ or } i_{3-a} \overset{\mathrm{e}}{\leftarrow} j_{3-b} \text{ or } i_a \overset{\mathrm{o}}{\leftarrow} j_{3-b} \text{ or } i_{3-a} \overset{\mathrm{o}}{\leftarrow} j_b \qquad \right) \\ 0 & (\text{otherwise}) \end{cases},$$

where $a, b \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ Note that i_k^- and j_k^- arc not defined for $k \in \{2, 3\}$. We assume that $i_k \overset{\mathrm{e}}{\leftarrow} j_l$ and $i_k \overset{\mathrm{o}}{\leftarrow} j_l$ are false when $k \in \{2, 3\}$ or $l \in \{2, 3\}$.

Theorem 2 *For a twisted link diagram D, $\widetilde{Z}_D(x, y) = det(\widetilde{M} - \widetilde{P})$ is an invariant of the twisted link up to multiplication by powers of $x^{\pm 1}$, i.e., for any twisted link diagram D' representing the same twisted link with D, we have $Z_{D'}(x, y) = x^m Z_D(x, y)$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

For a twisted link L, we define the *twisted JKSS invariant* of L, denoted by $\widetilde{Z}_L(x, y)$, by $\widetilde{Z}_D(x, y)$ for a diagram D of L.



(a) (b)

Figure 7: The twisted JKSS invariants of twisted links

The twisted JKSS invariant of the diagram in Figure 7 (a) is 0 and that of the diagram in Figure 7 (b) is $y^{-2}\left(x^2-1\right)\left(y^2-1\right)\left(x^2-y^2\right)$. We see that they are not equivalent. Note that they are not distinguished by the twisted Jones polynomial defined in [1]. The twisted Jones polynomials of the twisted links in Figure 7 are $-A^{-6}(A^4 + A^{-4})M$.



Figure 8: A twisted link diagram D and $s(D)$

3 Proof of Theorem 2

Let D be a twisted link diagram with bars $b_1, \ldots, b_k$. Assume that D is on the left of the y-axis and all bars are parallel to the x-axis with disjoint y-coordinates. Let $s(D)$ be the twisted link diagram which is obtained from D by reflection with respect to the y-axis and switching all real crossings of D. See Figure 8.

We construct the double covering of D as follows:

For horizontal lines $l_1, \ldots, l_k$ such that l_i contains b_i and $s(b_i)$, we replace each part of $D \amalg s(D)$ in a neighborhood of $N(l_i)$ as in Figure 9. We call this diagram $\widetilde{D}$ the *double covering diagram* of D.



Figure 9: Construct double covering

The double covering diagram of the twisted link diagram D in Figure 8 is shown in Figure 10.



Figure 10: The double covering diagram of D

Theorem 3 ([5]) *Let D_1 and D_2 be twisted link diagrams and $\widetilde{D_1}$ and $\widetilde{D_2}$ their double coverings diagrams of D_1 and D_2. If D_1 and D_2 are equivalent as twisted links, then $\widetilde{D_1}$ and $\widetilde{D_2}$ are equivalent as virtual links.*

Theorem 4 *For a twisted link diagram D, $\widetilde{Z}_D(x,y)$ coincides to $Z_{\widetilde{D}}(x,y)$, where $\widetilde{D}$ is the double covering of D.*

Proof Let D be a twisted link diagram with n real crossings $c_1, \cdots, c_n$. Let $s(c_1), \ldots, s(c_n)$ be the real crossings of $s(D)$ corresponding to $c_1, \ldots, c_n$. We regard $c_1, \cdots, c_n, s(c_1), \ldots, s(c_n)$ as the real crossings of the double covering diagram $\widetilde{D}$. We rename the real crossings of $\widetilde{D}$ by $\tilde{c}_1, \cdots, \tilde{c}_{2n}$ such that $c_i = \tilde{c}_{2i-1}$ and $s(c_i) = \tilde{c}_{2i}$ for $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. Then the matrix $\widetilde{M}$ for D coincides with matrix M for $\widetilde{D}$. We show that the matrix $\widetilde{P}$ for D coincides with the matrix P for $\widetilde{D}$. Suppose that real crossings c_i and c_j of D are the boundary points of an edge of $|D|$, i.e., $i_\epsilon \overset{\mathrm{e}}{\leftarrow} j_\lambda$ or $i_\epsilon \overset{\mathrm{o}}{\leftarrow} j_\lambda$ holds for D.

(i) If $i_\epsilon \overset{\mathrm{e}}{\leftarrow} j_\lambda$ for D, we see that c_i and c_j (or $s(c_i)$ and $s(c_j)$) are the boundary points of an edge of $|\widetilde{D}|$. The short edges labeled by $(2i-1)^-_\epsilon$ and $(2j-1)^+_\lambda$ (or $(2i)^-_{1-\epsilon}$ and $(2j)^+_{1-\lambda}$) are on the same edge of $|\widetilde{D}|$. Thus we have

$$\tilde{p}_{(4i-3+a)(4j-3+b)} = \begin{cases} 1 & (a = \epsilon \text{ and } b = \lambda) \\ 1 & (a = 2+1-\epsilon \text{ and } b = 2+1-\lambda) \\ 0 & (\text{otherwise}). \end{cases}$$

(ii) If $i_\epsilon \overset{\mathrm{o}}{\leftarrow} j_\lambda$ for D, we see that c_i and $s(c_j)$ (or $s(c_i)$ and c_j) are the boundary points of an edge of $|\widetilde{D}|$. Namely, the short edges labeled by $(2i-1)^-_\epsilon$ and $(2j)^+_{1-\lambda}$ (or $(2i)^-_{1-\epsilon}$ and $(2j-1)^+_\lambda$) are on the same edge of $|\widetilde{D}|$. Thus we have

$$\tilde{p}_{(4i-3+a)(4j-3+b)} = \begin{cases} 1 & (a = \epsilon \text{ and } b = 2+1-\lambda) \\ 1 & (a = 2+1-\epsilon \text{ and } b = \lambda) \\ 0 & (\text{otherwise}). \end{cases}$$

Thus we have the $\widetilde{P} = P$. Since $w(\widetilde{D})$ is $2w(D)$, $Z_{\widetilde{D}}(x,y) = \det(M-P) = \det(\widetilde{M} - \widetilde{P}) = \widetilde{Z}_D(x,y)$. □

4 Properties of double covering diagrams

For a twisted (virtual or classical) link diagram D, we denote by $r(D)$ the number of real crossings of D. For a twisted link (or a virtual link) L, we denote by $r(L)$ (or $r_v(L)$) the minimal number of real crossings of all of diagrams of L.

Theorem 5 *Let L be a twisted link presented by a twisted link diagram D and let $\widetilde{L}$ be the virtual link presented by the double covering diagram $\widetilde{D}$ of D. If $r(\widetilde{D}) = r_v(\widetilde{L})$, then $r(D) = r(L)$.*

Proof Note that $r(\widetilde{D}) = 2r(D)$. If $r(D) \neq r(L)$, then there is a diagram of L, D_0 such that $r(D_0) = r(L) < r(D)$. For the double covering diagram $\widetilde{D}_0$ of D_0, we have $r(\widetilde{D}_0) = 2r(D_0) < 2r(D)$, which is a contradiction of the assumption since $\widetilde{D}_0$ is equivalent to $\widetilde{D}$ as a virtual link. □

Proposition 6 *Let D be a twisted link diagram obtained from a virtual link diagram D_0 by adding a bar on an arc. Then $\widetilde{D}$ is equivalent to a connected sum of D_0 and $s(D_0)$ modulo virtual Reidemeister moves.*

Proof For the double covering $\widetilde{D}$ of D, there are two kinds of sets of virtual crossings. One is the set of virtual crossings which correspond to virtual crossings of D and $s(D)$, and the other is the set of virtual crossings which occur when we construct $\widetilde{D}$ from $D \amalg s(D)$ by replacement as in Figure 9. The second set of virtual crossings of $\widetilde{D}$ look as in Figure 11 (i). As in Figure 11 (ii), such virtual crossings are eliminated by some virtual Reidemeister moves. The virtual link diagram shown in Figure 11 (ii) is a connected sum of two virtual link diagrams which are equivalent to D_0 and $s(D_0)$ modulo virtual Reidemeister moves. □



Figure 11: Double covering of a twisted link diagram with a bar

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank Seiichi Kamada for his useful suggestion.

References

[1] M. O. Bourgoin, *Twisted link theory*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. 8 (2008) 1249–1279.

[2] J. S. Carter, S. Kamada and M. Saito, *Stable equivalence of knots on surfaces and virtual knot cobordisms*, J. Knot Theory Ramifications 11 (2002) 311–322.

[3] F. Jaeger, L. H. Kauffman, H. Saleur, *The Conway polynomial in R^3 and in thickened surfaces: a new determinant formulation*, Combin. Theory Ser. B 61 (1994), 237–259.

[4] N. Kamada and S. Kamada, *Abstract link diagrams and virtual knots*, J. Knot Theory Ramifications 9 (2000) 93-106.

[5] N. Kamada and S. Kamada, *Double coverings of twisted links*, preprint (2015, arXiv:1510.03001(math.GT)).

[6] L. H. Kauffman, *Virtual knot theory*, European J. Combin. 20 (1999) 663–690.

[7] J. Sawollek, *On Alexander-Conway polynomials for virtual knots and links*, preprint (1999, arXiv:9912173(math.GT)).